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Is there a place for liver transplantation in cases with unresectable central cholangiocarcinoma?
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Abstract

Central cholangiocarcinoma represents a very aggressive malignancy associated with poor survival rates especially due to the fact that most patients are diagnosed in advanced stages of the disease when curative resection is no longer feasible. In order to try improving the long-term outcomes of these patients, especially in unresectable cases liver transplantation has been proposed with promising results. This is a literature review of the largest studies focused on this subject.

Cholangiocarcinomas remain aggressive tumors with poor outcomes whenever surgery with curative intent is not feasible. Currently, the largest experience accumulated consists of neoadjuvant external beam radiotherapy in association with beam radiation, 5 fluorouracil and capectabine followed by orthotopic liver transplantation. Following this therapeutic protocol excellent long-term outcomes have been reported even if vascular involvement is present.
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Highlights

✓ Current attitude for cholangiocarcinomas is represented by neoadjuvant external beam radiotherapy, 5 fluorouracil and capectabine, followed by orthotopic liver transplantation.
✓ The presence of peritoneal, lymph node, intrahepatic or extrahepatic metastases is associated with significantly poorer outcomes, being generally considered a contraindication for liver transplantation.
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Introduction

Cholangiocarcinomas account for up to 10% of all primary liver malignancies and less than 3% of all gastrointestinal malignant tumors and originate from biliary tract epithelium or hepatic progenitor cells (1). Among liver malignancies, cholangiocarcinomas range for the second most common hepatic neoplastic disease, following hepatocarcinomas; however, their incidence reports a high rate of variation among different populations due to the different exposure to the main risk factors (2, 3). Similarly to other biliary tree malignant tumors, cholangiocarcinomas are associated with increasing age, presenting a peak of incidence between 55 and 74 years old as well as with the male sex (4). Other risk factors for developing cholangiocarcinomas are represented by exposure to Thorotrast, Caroli’s disease, hepatolithiasis, metabolic syndrome and bile duct cysts (5, 6).

When it comes to their clinical course, cholangiocarcinomas are recognized as having an aggressive biological behavior, associated with poor outcomes whenever surgery with curative intent is not feasible; therefore, is considered that only 25% of cases are amenable to radical surgery while the overall survival ranges between 25-30% (7, 8). Moreover, surgery is associated with a significant rate of postoperative complications and, consequently with a high morbidity rate (9).

Mainly, it is considered that there are two subtypes of cholangiocarcinomas: de novo lesions, diagnosed in patients with no previous biliary pathology and they usually develop in the hilar region and secondary lesions, developed on pre-existent biliary diseases such as primary sclerosing cholangitis (10-12). In the second eventuality the overall prognostic is even scarcer (9, 12). According to the anatomical localization, cholangiocarcinomas are classified into three categories: intrahepatic lesions (accounting for 15-20% of cases), perihilar lesions (accounting for 60-70% of cases) and distal lesions (representing 20-30% of cases). According to this classification the three categories present certain common features but also important differences responsible for the particular outcomes of each lesion (13). However, the most recent classification from the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Union for International Cancer Control (UICC) refers only to two anatomical categories: intrahepatic cholangiocarcinomas (developing from the second order bile ducts and the more proximal bile ducts) and extrahepatic lesions (including lesions originating from the two main hepatic bile ducts – Klatskin tumors and more distal tumors originating from the common bile duct but excluding Vater’s ampulla tumors) (14, 15).

Discussions

• Principles of surgery in cholangiocarcinomas

The main objective of the surgical approach of cholangiocarcinomas is represented by achieving a radical resection; this goal is achieved if the margins’ status is R0 (no residual tumor). Other possibilities after surgery are represented by R1 resection (microscopic remnant tumoral volume) or R2 resection (macroscopic residual tumoral volume). However, it seems that only cases benefiting from R0 resection report a significant improvement of the overall survival; therefore, is considered that only 20% of cases diagnosed with cholangiocarcinomas are candidates for resection with curative intent (1). Moreover, even if curative resection is feasible, another important aspect which should be taken in consideration is related to the remnant liver volume; although in certain cases radical resection with curative intent is technically feasible, if the remnant volume of the liver does not exceed 30% of the total volume of the liver postoperative organ failure risk is significant, therefore is considered that surgery should not be performed (1).

• Therapeutic strategies in unresectable cholangiocarcinomas

Once liver transplantation was proved to be an effective therapeutic strategy for hepatic carcinomas, the method was also proposed for cases with cholangiocarcinomas from the early 60’s in order to improve the overall outcomes of these patients. However, the initial results were rather discouraging, with increased rates of relapse; for example, the Cincinnati Transplant Tumor Registry reported a 5-year survival rate after transplantation of 28% and a 51% rate of recurrence, the most commonly reported relapses being reported within the first two years at the level of the liver graft (in 47% of cases) and lungs respectively (in 30% of cases) (16). In consequence, the diagnostic of cholangiocarcinoma became a contraindication for liver transplantation in a significant number of centers (17). However, later on the oncologic therapies such as radiotherapy and chemotherapy reported a significant improvement; in consequence, association between oncological therapies followed by transplantation was reconsidered (12). Interestingly, certain authors report that patients with hilar cholangiocarcinomas have a
poorer outcome after neoadjuvant chemo-radiation followed by orthotopic liver transplantation when compared with patients who develop cholangiocarcinomas on pre-existent biliary diseases (12). These outcomes might be explained through the fact that performing liver transplantation in a patient with pre-existent biliary disease will eliminate other potential malignant transformations originating from the bile ducts; in the meantime, it will entirely replace the hepatic parenchyma, which might had been the theatre for recurrent biliary tree infections (18).

It has been more than 25 years since the researchers from Mayo Clinic proposed an innovative therapeutic protocol in order to maximize the long-term outcomes for the patients with unresectable central cholangiocarcinomas. The protocol consisted of administration of external beam radiotherapy (up to 45Gy) delivered over three weeks followed by a single dose of transcatheter brachytherapy (up to 30Gy) and concomitant 5-fluorouracil administration. After ending this protocol oral capectabine was given in all cases until orthotopic liver transplantation was possible (19). From the year of 2000, when the first results were reported, researchers from Mayo Clinic presented excellent results after performing this technique, with overall survival rates of 92%, 81% and 74% at 1, 3- and 5-year follow-up (9). These data seem to be also the result of an improved perioperative outcome, with significantly lower rates of perioperative deaths when compared to other similar studies (12).

One of the largest studies published by the researchers from Mayo Clinic regarding the role of liver transplantation in cholangiocarcinomas included 90 patients submitted to neoadjuvant chemo-irradiation followed by liver transplantation after 1993. The presence of vascular involvement wasn’t considered as exclusion criteria; contrarily, the presence of intrahepatic or extrahepatic metastases as well as the association of gallbladder involvement was considered as contraindications. Similarly, to the previous study, the neoadjuvant therapy included 4000 to 4500 cGy of external radiation therapy followed by 2000 to 3000 cGy of transcatheteral irradiation and concomitant 5-fluorouracil while capectabine was administrated until liver transplantation. Moreover, before performing orthotopic liver transplantation, in all cases a staging laparotomy including serial biopsies from the regional lymph nodes, peritoneum was performed. The study initially included 148 patients; however, after performing the staging laparotomy only in 90 cases the presence of metastatic lesions was excluded so the patients were further submitted to liver transplantation; the authors reported a 5-year survival rate after transplantation of 71% (20).

Another interesting therapeutic strategy was presented by Lunsford et al. in the study presented in The Lancet Gastroenterology and Hepatology, in 2018 (21). The study is a prospective one and included patients with unresectable cholangiocarcinomas without extrahepatic or vascular involvement who were submitted to neoadjuvant chemotherapy (gemcitabine-cisplatin or gemcitabine-capecitabine protocol) followed by liver transplantation if they reported a radiological stabilization of the disease within six months. The study included six such patients who benefitted from liver transplantation after a median duration from diagnostic of 26 months, all patients being submitted to neoadjuvant chemotherapy while awaiting liver transplant. After a median follow-up interval of 26 months three cases developed recurrent disease; the overall survival rate was 100% at 1 year, 83.3% at 3 years and 83.3% at 5 years, demonstrating that in selected cases a significant benefit in terms of survival can be expected (21).

- Factors influencing the overall outcomes after liver transplantation for unresectable cholangiocarcinomas

An interesting subject for patients included in this therapeutic strategy is related to the influence of the time spent on the waiting list before transplantation and the overall outcomes; in the study conducted by Duignan et al. the authors reported a surprising finding, that there was no correlation between the time spent on waiting for liver transplantation and the long-term outcomes; moreover, the authors underlined the fact that the two patients reporting the longer survival spent more than six months on the waiting list (12). The same study underlined the fact that the only significant prognostic factor was related to the absence of residual tumor at the end of liver transplantation. Due to the fact that this factor cannot be assessed during the preoperative workup (being considered that it can be correctly estimated only after ending the phase of liver resection) the authors went further and studied whether there can be established a connection between the preoperative levels of CA 19-9 and the completeness of resection; they concluded that a higher than 100 levels of CA 19-9 is strongly predictable for the presence of residual tumor after liver resection (12). Similar results in regard with CA 19-9 levels and the overall survival was reported by the researchers from Mayo Clinic (22).
Another study published by Heimbach et al. at Mayo Clinic demonstrated that the most important factors negatively influencing survival were represented by older age, prior cholecystectomy, CA 19-9 level higher than 100 at the moment of transplantation, presence of visible mass at imaging and prolonged waiting time; other factors influencing the recurrence risk were represented by residual lesions in the explanted liver larger than 2 cm, higher tumoral grades as well as the presence of perineural invasion (23).

Conclusions

Cholangiocarcinomas remain aggressive tumors with poor outcomes whenever surgery with curative intent is not feasible. The option of liver transplantation, initially proposed in the early 60’s which had been initially considered as inefficient due to the high rate of relapse, was reconsidered later on in association with perioperative oncologic treatment. Nowadays the largest experience originates from the Mayo Clinic and consists of adjuvant external beam radiotherapy in association with beam radiation, 5 fluorouracil and capecitabine followed by orthotopic liver transplantation. Following this therapeutic protocol excellent long-term outcomes have been reported even if vascular involvement is present. However, the presence of peritoneal, lymph node, intrahepatic or extrahepatic metastases is associated with significantly poorer outcomes and therefore is considered as a formal contraindication for liver transplantation.
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